Incomplete

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1889
~~191~~.
(S.R.)

File No. 14 of 89

Serial Nos. 1—19

Later references.

(95)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—19

Major ~~Part~~ B for March, 1891

SUBJECT.

Claim of Inamdars to Local Cess on Toddy Revenue in Kunigal Taluk.

Indexed.

Seshabhao

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

-table-

The Deputy Co. asked the Inamdars to pay - Some of these plead "we are not liable - Ask the Contractors - They shd pay the L. Cess - They have had only the net revenue we agreed to receive from them". Other Inamdars say "we are not liable to pay Local Cess on the amount paid by the Contractors to us. The heirs of the village in which we have Local Cess to pay include both Revenue and further Local Cess is not due".

The primary liability is on the Contractors - They shd pay the Local Cess - If they have overpaid the Inamdar in any case they shd proceed to recover the amount in due course of law. Whether they have overpaid any Inamdar is a question of fact for inquiry & decision in each case - In several cases it will be found that the amount stipulated by Darkhast Co. were fixed with eye to previous Contractors' agreements, so that

Acct Dept
Draft as per notes put up to
Dy Sec & Examd put up
Dft

Draft Submd.
N.D.

CHIEF SECY.'S DEPT.

SUBMITTED TO THE DEWAN.

For Orders

Heading with Finance Deptt's No. 117 dt 11 June 1890.

The amount involved in the Case is Rs 67-6-6.

It is the local cess on amounts paid to certain Inamdars by Mess Davy Muddapa & Co on account of Toddy revenue due to them for the three years 84-87 of their Contract.

Under orders contained in the late Chief Commr's Proc. No. 2435 dt 19 June 1875 the Collectors Dam M & C should have levied the Local Cess & paid it & exempted themselves by short payments to the Inamdars concerned. They have failed to do this.

The question is how is the amount to be recovered.

Dam & Co plead hardship to the Inamdars without withholding the Local Cess and attribute it to ignorance of the orders of 1875. The Collr's opinion is adverse.

2

whether the amount agreed
to be paid by D.M.C. in any
case is the net amount
exclusive of Local Cess, or the
gross amount inclusive
of Local Cess will depend upon
the Nature of the amounts
fixed in previous Contractors'
agreements. Previous
Contractors did not overlook
the Order of 1875 as D.M.C.
have done & I have known
the item of Local Cess arranged
for in these agreements. -

As to the plea adduced
by some Inamdars that the
payt of Local Cess on the
Lenz absolves them from
the payt of Local Cess on
the present Todry Revenue
it is to be remarked

1st That the point is
fully discussed & disposed of
in the Order of 1875 -

2d That in the case
of the Inam villages the valua-
tion which has been revised
by the Survey Dept, Todry Re-
venue is not included
in the Valuation on which
alone Local Cess is levied

& 3d - That in the case of
Inam villages of which the
old Lenz is still the valuation
the Revenue Remarked at one

of the Darsera Act and that there is nothing to prevent the method of the survey valuation being anticipated and excluding the item from the assets of the village on which local fund is calculated for Land Revenue —

The plea which the Contractors urge "we did not know" is one which possibly be repeated. It is therefore worthy of consideration whether a circular should be issued inviting attention of District Officers & New Contractors (for the period 1890-92) to the orders of 1875.

5 July 90

Forwarded to Mr Fenton Harrison for favor of opinion.

S.O.
Mukundrao
for Chf Secy.

9 July 1890

I cannot understand why the contractor should be called upon to pay the local cess on the lands which the Govt or any tenant ask the Govt contractor who is virtually a tenant of the Govt.

To Chf Secy. Does Darsera include the rent. 9/7 [illegible]

the Govt get from him. The Chief Commissioner's order of 1875 on this subject appears to me to be absolutely wrong.

If the Darsera on which the Govt have the local cess includes the rent of the tenant (which is a question of fact) the tenant not pay any more cess. If not, he must be made to pay it. If he does not pay it, it can be recovered as any other revenue in arrear. Theoretically, a local cess is a cess on income & not on expenditure.—

9/7/90

We do not ask Govt tenants to pay us the local cess on the lands they pay the Govt & why should we ask the Govt contractor who is virtually a tenant of the Govt?

Amount of local cess stated to be due by the contractors

※

1. Gottikere — Rs 31 — as — 6
2. Kogutte — 4 — 1 — 0
3. Hatadevanahalli — 4 — 13 — 6
4. Kittur 1/4 — 2 — 8 — 0
5. Bandalikalli — 24 — 12 — 0
6. Doddakallikatte — 5

Total. 67 — 6 — 6

With reference to the question as to whether the Benizy of the ※ marginally noted Villages in the Kunigal Taluk includes the Toddy Revenue, some information was called for from the Deputy Commissioner of the Tumkur District and the Superintendent Revenue Survey and Inam Settlements, and though the figures furnished by these Officers as regards the Survey valuation &c do not tally, it appears from the Deputy Commr's × report that the local cess is being calculated

× Para 2 of docket No 588 dated 25th January 1891

of these Villages as
per instructions laid
down in para 5 of the
Dewan's Proceedings
No. 4701-9 / 133 and No. 2709-18 / 72
of the 2nd September 188.
and 9th August 1887 re-
spectively.

The Inam Superintendent
reports that the Beriz
of the marginally noted
Villages alone includes
the Doddy Revenue.

Submitted for orders.

H.D. 2/7 with letter No. 3319/187 dated 7th January 1891 from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

One letter etc
have been please
H.D.

⊗ Vide docket No. 678/1-5-7

× Goltikere—
Kogatta—
Bandihalli—
Doddamallur—

The Order cited only
appears to have referred
to the Survey valuation. Has
not this Government taken decision
in the matter of what should
form the Municipal Valuation
in particular? If the valu-
ation marked ⊗ does

With Survey Draft No. 419 &
withdrawn from the old
Survey vol., & now that
According Orders could
with No. 2701-9 & 2709-18
of 1881? 133 72

I think that the
Unused Survey vol. has
been brought with force
in the Mysore Dist. & in
the Kanara Dist.

Read
9/7/91
Draft ordered
10/7

(General Serial No. 29.)

1889

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
266
11 JUL. 89
156

Secret
File No. 1489
Serial No.

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Government of Mysore.

Dated Tumkur 5th July 1889

Despatched 9th —

Received

Enclosures No. Records

General No. No. 142

Subject Regarding the failure of the Lodgy Contractors Messrs Dewan Enoddappa & Co to pay the Local cess due to Govt on the amount of rent paid by them to certain Inamdars in the Kunigal Taluk for 1884-85 - 1885-86 and 1886-87 and submits the whole Records for further information

---

Refer 12/7

Submit for orders. 7/7

The Dy Commr is requested to report what amount has been actually paid by the Contractors to the Inamdars mentioned in the letter under reference. If the full amount due to them has been paid, the Dy Commr will call upon them to pay the cess amounting to Rs 67-6-6 at once. On their default being reported the Govt will adopt measures for the recovery of the amount from the Contractors.

24/7 [illegible]

No 142 Records

Commissioner's Office
Jaffna Dist.
Dated 5th July 89.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government
of Ceylon.

Sir,

I having been brought to notice that the late Contractors Megapulle & Co had failed to pay the Local Cess due to Government on the amount of rent paid by them to the Malabar Inamdars in the Tellippalai Talk for 3 years viz: 1884/85, 1885/86 and 1886/87, on demands

Copy of Cess Roll 67. 6. 6

Taluk	Village	Name of Inamdar	Extent	Rent 1884-85	Rent 1885-86	Rent 1886-87	Total
Jaffna	Tellippalai	Mr. Arunasalam Ponnambalam	80	166 10 8	166 10 8	166 10 8	500
	Kopay	Mr. Arunasalam Ponnambalam	20	65		66	66
	Kalaramba	Mr. Arunasalam Ponnambalam	20		77 8		77 8
	Nallur 1/4	Mr. Arunasalam Ponnambalam	20	13 5 4	13 5 4	13 5 4	40
	Boundary Pattu	Mr. Arunasalam Ponnambalam		132	132	132	396
		Total		377	389 8	312	1078 8

demand were made repeatedly through the Deputy Commissioner Durgapore District, for the payment of the above Cess amounting to Rs 67-6-6 in pursuance of the orders contained in the late Chief Commissioner's Pro: No: $\frac{2435}{21}$ dated 19th June 1875. The Contractors, however, persist in declining to pay the same on the plea that they are awaiting the Orders of the Dewan on their letter No: 932 of 20th May 1869 to the address of the Chief Secretary. They seem to plead that they had paid up the rent in full to the Zamindars without deducting therefrom the Cess due to Government, but this procedure, if they had really adopted it

it was evidently opposed to the orders of the late Chief Commissioner above quoted. They are even now, after paying up the Government demand, at perfect liberty to recover the land from the Inamdars concerned.

2. The records are here with submitted for further information and Orders.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obt. servant.

Deputy Commissioner

Sinnúr Distt

(General Serial No. 30.)

1889.

From

To The Deputy Comm^r
Guntur Dt.

Dated 29 Jul 89

Despatched 30

Received

Enclosures No. Nil

General No. 3134

No. 98

Subject

SR
4-89
27

Ack^g Govt Lr No 1412 of the 5 July 1889 regarding the failure of the Toddy Contractors to pay the local cess due to Govt on the amount of rent paid by them to certain Inamdars in the Kurnool Talum for 1884-85, 1885-86 and 1887-88

The D^y Comm^r is requested to report what amount has been actually paid by the Contractors to the Inamdars mentioned in the above letter. If the full amount due to them has been paid, the D^y Comm^r will call upon them ~~immediately~~ to pay the cess amounting to Rs 67-6-6 at once. On their default being reported, the Govt will adopt

6

measures for the recovery of
the amount from the Con-
tractors. Enclosure returned

Yours

Chief

(General Serial No. 31.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
14 JUN 90

2161
114

1890

From Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Dated Tumkur 11 June 1890

Despatched

honor to state that the Guardians concerned have been duly demanded to pay up the above sum in accordance with the orders conveyed in the above docket, but that they have refused to pay ~~£~~ on the ground of their enjoyment exemption of the rent together with the Cess thereon.

Davy Rhondda Coalfield

ಸಾಲಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕೋರಿ ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದೆವು.

ಆದರೆ ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ನಮ್ಮನ್ನು ಸಾಲಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮನ್ನು ಸಾಲಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಆದೇಶ ನೀಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರುತ್ತೇವೆ.

23/5/89

No 133

454

1284 / 1682

12-1

30 ...

32

...

17/3/90

ਸੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੇਖੋਂ ਨੇ ਵਿਸ਼ਵ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੀ ਪੜ੍ਹਾਈ ਕੀਤੀ ਹੈ। ਉਹ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੇ ਵਿਸ਼ਵ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਿਦਵਾਨ ਹਨ। ਉਹ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੇ ਵਿਸ਼ਵ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਿਦਵਾਨ ਹਨ। ਉਹ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੇ ਵਿਸ਼ਵ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਨਾਲ ਸੰਬੰਧਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਿਦਵਾਨ ਹਨ।

ضلع گجرات کے ایک گاؤں میں ایک بوڑھا کسان اپنے بیٹے کے ساتھ رہتا تھا۔ بیٹا
شہر میں نوکری کرتا تھا اور ہر مہینے کچھ پیسے بھیجتا تھا۔ بوڑھا کسان ان پیسوں
سے اپنا گزارا کرتا اور باقی بچا کر رکھتا تھا۔ ایک دن بیٹا شہر سے آیا تو
اس نے دیکھا کہ باپ کے پاس کچھ بھی نہیں ہے۔ اس نے پوچھا کہ پیسے کہاں
گئے۔ بوڑھے نے کہا کہ بیٹا، میں نے سب کچھ تمہاری شادی پر خرچ کر دیا۔
بیٹا حیران رہ گیا۔ اس نے کہا کہ ابا جی، میں نے تو آپ کو صرف گزارے کے
پیسے بھیجے تھے۔ بوڑھے نے کہا کہ بیٹا، تیری شادی میرا فرض تھا۔ اس
لیے میں نے اپنا پیٹ کاٹ کر پیسے جمع کیے تھے۔ بیٹا رو پڑا اور باپ کے
گلے لگ گیا۔ اس دن اس نے عہد کیا کہ وہ اب باپ کو کبھی اکیلا نہیں
چھوڑے گا۔

مشق: سوالوں کے جواب لکھیں

۱۔ بوڑھا کسان کہاں رہتا تھا؟ ضلع گجرات کے ایک گاؤں میں

۲۔ بیٹا کیا کرتا تھا؟ بیٹا شہر میں نوکری کرتا تھا اور ہر مہینے پیسے بھیجتا تھا۔

۳۔ بوڑھا کسان پیسوں سے کیا کرتا تھا؟ بوڑھا کسان پیسوں سے اپنا گزارا کرتا اور باقی بچا کر رکھتا تھا۔

۴۔ بیٹا جب شہر سے آیا تو اس نے کیا دیکھا؟ بیٹا جب شہر سے آیا تو اس نے دیکھا کہ باپ کے پاس کچھ بھی نہیں ہے۔

۵۔ بوڑھے نے بیٹے کی شادی پر کیا کیا؟ بوڑھے نے بیٹے کی شادی پر سب کچھ خرچ کر دیا۔

۶۔ بیٹا رو پڑا اور باپ کے گلے لگ گیا۔ اس دن اس نے عہد کیا کہ وہ اب باپ کو کبھی اکیلا نہیں چھوڑے گا۔

مشق: سوالوں کے جواب لکھیں

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಸ್ವಾಗತ ಕೋರಿ ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿದರು.

ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗುವ ಮುಂಚೆ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ಸದಸ್ಯರೆಲ್ಲರೂ ಎದ್ದು ನಿಂತು ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ನಿಧನರಾದ ಮಾಜಿ ಪ್ರಧಾನಿ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಇಂದಿರಾ ಗಾಂಧಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರ ಗಣ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಮೌನ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದರು.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿ ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಸೂಚಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಒಂದೊಂದಾಗಿ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡರು.

ಚರ್ಚೆಯ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಕೆಲವು ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಿದರು. ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಸಲಹೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಕಾರ್ಯರೂಪಕ್ಕೆ ತರುವುದಾಗಿ ಭರವಸೆ ನೀಡಿದರು.

ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಸೂಚಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಿದ್ದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮುಗಿದ ನಂತರ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಕ್ತಾಯಗೊಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಸಭೆಯು ಮುಕ್ತಾಯಗೊಂಡ ದಿನಾಂಕ 29-8-89.

Rev.-Judl. Serial No. 7.

1ನೇ ನಂಬರಿನವನು

ತಗಾದೇ ನೋಟೀಸು.

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಹೋಬಳಿ

ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ನಿವಾಸಿಗೆ ತಗಾದೇ ನೋಟೀಸು.

1889 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ — ತಿಂಗಳು — ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿಗೆ ಪೂರೈಸಿದ 1889 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ — ತಿಂಗಳ ಖಿಸ್ತಿಗೆ — ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಪಟ್ಟೀದಾರನಾದ ನಿನ್ನಿಂದ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳು ಬರಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವದರಿಂದ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಲ್ದಾರರು ನಿನಗೆ ತಗಾದೇ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ, ಮತ್ತು ಈ ನೋಟೀಸು ಜಾರೀ ಆದ ತಾರೀಖು ಲಾಗಾಯಿತು 15 ದಿನಗಳ ಒಳಗಾಗಿ ಸದರೀ ಹೊಬಲಗನ್ನು ನೀನು ಪಾವತೀ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು.

ಮೇಲೆ ನಮೂದಾದ ವಾಯಿದೇ ಒಳಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಬಾಕೀಯನ್ನು ಸಂದಾಯ ಮಾಡದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅಥವಾ ಸಂದಾಯ ಮಾಡದೇ ಯಿರುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಕಾದ ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ತೋರಿಸದಿದ್ದರೆ ಬಾಕೀಗೆ ಸಾಕಾದಷ್ಟು ನಿನ್ನ ಚರ ಯಾ ಸ್ಥಿರಸ್ವತ್ತು ಮತ್ತಾವದೊಂದು ನೋಟೀಸನ್ನೂ ಕೊಡದೇಲೇ ಜಪ್ತೀ ಮತ್ತು ಹರಾಜ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವದು.

ಇವಾನನ ಭತ್ಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ನೀನು ಈಗ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು.

ತಾ 15-10-87

ಅಮಲ್ದಾರ.

ತಿಳುವಳಿಕೆ—ಈ ನೋಟೀಸು ಜಾರೀ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನೇಮಕವಾಗಿರುವ ಮನುಷ್ಯನು ಸರಕಾರೀ ಬಾಕೀ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೆ ತಪ್ಪಿದ ಮನುಷ್ಯನಿಗೆ ಅಥವಾ ಅವನ ವಾಡಿಯಾದ ವಾಸಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಜೀವನ ಕುಟುಂಬಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಪ್ರಬುದ್ಧನಾದ ಒಬ್ಬ ಗಂಡಸಿಗೆ ಅಥವಾ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಅವನ ಮುಖ್ತಾರೀಕನಿಗೆ ಈ ನೋಟೀಸಿನ ನಕಲನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು, ಅಥವಾ ತತ್ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಯಬಂದ ಅವನ ವಾಸಸ್ಥಾನದ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧವಾದ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಜಪ್ತಿಯಾಗತಕ್ಕ ಜವಿನಿನ ಬಳೀ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾದ ಸ್ಥಳದೊಳಗೆ ನೋಟೀಸು ನಕಲನ್ನು ಚಿಕಟಾಯಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು. ಯಾವ ರೀತಿಯಾಗಿ ನೋಟೀಸು ಜಾರೀಯಾಗುತ್ತೋ ಅದನ್ನು ನೋಟೀಸು ಜಾರೀ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ಮನುಷ್ಯನು ನೋಟೀಸಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಷರಾ ಬರೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

Mysore Government Press, Bangalore—20-10-87—20,000.

2307
314

Proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of the
Revenue Department

No. 2435/R 21 dated Bangalore 19th June 1873

Order thereon — The Commissioner asks for instructions on the three following points arising out of the claims put forward by holders of Inam villages against the Toddy Contractors for the Sugar District —

1st. Whether the Toddy Contractor is liable to pay local cess to Inamdars on the Contract Amount of Toddy in their villa

Whether the inamdars cla to the prime cost of one an and two pies per pot of 24 se of Toddy, when the liquor is removed for sale beyon the limit of their inam, is admissible and,

Whether in determining the payment to be made by the Contractor to the inamdars, the amount given to the latter by the former Contractor should from the basis of computat

As to 1st point, the Officiating Chief Commissioner observes that the clai

Deputy Commissioner's
Mysore District
Dated 8th September 87.

In forwarding the above copy for the information and guidance of Messrs Davey Moorddappa & Co late Abkary Contractors, Mysore District requests that they will pay to Government local cess on all money which may be paid by them hereafter to Inamdars and furnish a list of payments already made to Inamdars without deducting local cess.

Sd/ T Ananda Row
Dy Commissioner
Mysore Dt

True Copy
By order

[illegible]

[illegible] — 8 — [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[

4619
553

29-5-67

No. 870

Irwin.

29

Messrs Davy Hooddappa & Co
Late Abkary Contractors
Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Toomkoor.

Sir.

Referring to your orders regarding the disgraceful demand of local cess through the Mysore Talook Auildars said to be due by us for the Inam villages of your District from the year 1884 to 87 we most respectfully beg leave to bring to your favourable consideration and orders that we remember no such agreements were entered into with the Government taking the responsibility of paying any such amount to Govt. in addition to that to be paid to Enamdars. Had we known before paying the Enamdars it would have been very easy for us to deduct the required local cess and pay the same to Government as per orders.

We were quite ignorant of the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings therein quoted up to the 12th September 1884 which we received on that date through the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore.

Under these circumstances we most respectfully beg you to be so good as to exempt us from paying any such illegal item to which we are not legally bound to pay.

We have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obd Servants.
(Signed) Dany Ruvvadappa & Co

5th October 1888
Mysore

"True Copy"
Dany Ruvvadappa & Co.

No 932

Messrs Devy Iruvoddappa & Co
Late Abkary Contractors
Mysore Dt

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan to
H.H. the Maharajah of Mysore.

Sir.

Referring to the enclosed copies of some correspondence between ourselves, and the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkoor, we most respectfully beg to bring to your notice, that he (the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkoor) demands us through the Mysore Talook Amuldar to pay some local cess amount said to be due for certain Jodee villages of that District from the year 1884 to 1887. We beg to say that we have not entered into any sort of Agreement with Government taking the responsibility of paying such amount in addition to what we have paid to Amuldars according to the orders of Government.

The Chief Commissioners Proceedings quoted by the Tumkoor Deputy Commr in an order to the Kunigal Talook

Honble Darcy on this subject Leg. 2435/21 dated 19th June has not been received by us till the 12th Septr 1887 which was sent to us from the Inspector Genl Commissioner through his letter Leg. 1107 dated 8th September 1887 and we were ignorant of any such orders up to that period.

Had we known any thing about the said Proceedings, or any other orders of the kind, from the Govt. Authorities before paying the Emaundars, it would have been very easy for us to demand the required localles from the payment of the Emaundars, and then pay the same to Government. Even now it may be more convenient and safe to demand and recover the amount from the Jodeedars and others who have already received from us the full amount.

As we consider that we are not in any way bound to pay to Government such amount as this, we beg you to consider about our case and save us from paying any extra amount.

Further we request you kindly issue your orders to the Cuddapah District Deputy Commr. to wait till we may receive your final orders on the subject.

20th May 1889

Inspector

We have the honor &c.

(Sd) Dany Moodaliar & Co

"True Copy"

Dany Moodaliar & Co

153/

ಅನುಬಂಧ ೨

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಪಶುವೈದ್ಯಕೀಯ ಪಶುಸಂಗೋಪನೆ ಮತ್ತು ಮೀನುಗಾರಿಕೆ ವಿಶ್ವವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ

ಪಶುವೈದ್ಯಕೀಯ ಮಹಾವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ ಹೆಬ್ಬಾಳ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು - ೫೬೦ ೦೨೪

ಪಶುವೈದ್ಯಕೀಯ ಮಹಾವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್‌ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್ ಹೆಸರು	ಕಳೆದ ಮೂರು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಪಡೆದಿರುವ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ												ಒಟ್ಟು ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಒಟ್ಟು ಕೊಠಡಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಒಟ್ಟು ಹಾಸಿಗೆಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್ ಸೂಪರಿಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟ್ ಹೆಸರು	ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್ ಸೂಪರಿಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟ್ ಮೊಬೈಲ್ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್ ಸೂಪರಿಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟ್ ಇ-ಮೇಲ್ ವಿಳಾಸ	ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್ ಸೂಪರಿಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟ್ ಸಹಿ
		೨೦೨೧-೨೨			೨೦೨೨-೨೩			೨೦೨೩-೨೪			೨೦೨೪-೨೫							
		ಬಾಲಕರು	ಬಾಲಕಿಯರು	ಒಟ್ಟು	ಬಾಲಕರು	ಬಾಲಕಿಯರು	ಒಟ್ಟು	ಬಾಲಕರು	ಬಾಲಕಿಯರು	ಒಟ್ಟು	ಬಾಲಕರು	ಬಾಲಕಿಯರು	ಒಟ್ಟು					
೧	ಬಾಲಕರ ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್	೧೬೬	೧೦	೧೭೬	೧೬೬	೧೦	೧೭೬	೧೬೬	೧೦	೧೭೬	೧೬೬	೧೦	೧೭೬	೫೦೦	೮೦	೫೦೦			
೨	ಬಾಲಕಿಯರ ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್	೬೫	೦	೬೫	೬೫	೦	೬೫	೬೫	೦	೬೫	೬೫	೦	೬೫	೦	೦	೦			
೩	ಹೊಸ ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್	೭೭	೦	೭೭	೭೭	೦	೭೭	೭೭	೦	೭೭	೭೭	೦	೭೭	೮	೦	೮			
೪	ಹೊಸ ಹಾಸ್ಟೆಲ್	೧೩೨	೫	೧೩೭	೧೩೨	೫	೧೩೭	೧೩೨	೫	೧೩೭	೧೩೨	೫	೧೩೭	೫೪೦	೨೭	೫೪೦			
	ಒಟ್ಟು	೩೭೭	೧೫	೩೮೯	೩೭೭	೧೫	೩೮೯	೩೭೭	೧೫	೩೮೯	೩೭೭	೧೫	೩೮೯	೧೦೭೮	೫೭	೧೦೭೮			

ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪಾಲರು
ಪಶುವೈದ್ಯಕೀಯ ಮಹಾವಿದ್ಯಾಲಯ
ಹೆಬ್ಬಾಳ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

R. 1099
21.JUN.87
T

930

ತಾವುಗಳು ನಮ್ಮ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಸೇವೆ
ಅವಿಸ್ಮರಣೀಯವಾದುದು. ಆಡಳಿತ
ಮಂಡಳಿಯವರು ನಿಮಗೆ ಕೊಡಮಾಡಿರುವ
ಸೇವಾ ಸಂಭಾವನೆಯನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ
ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸೇವಾನಿವೃತ್ತಿ ಜೀವನವು ಸುಖಕರವಾಗಿರಲೆಂದು
ಹಾರೈಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ದಿ 19/10/88.

Abdul Rahman

373

4

SK 7476

69

Dr Sonnier

(General Serial No. 30.)

1890.

From

To

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Sunkum District

Dated 15 July

Despatched 19 July

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3563

No. 112

His No 107 of the 11th June/90.

With reference to the above, requests the Deputy Commissioner will be good enough to furnish the following particulars in connection with each of the Inam Villages noted in the margin.

1. Old Berijus per Samud.
2. Its details.
3. Survey valuation

5. Local cess paid by the Zamindar nor.

6. How calculated.

7. Does the amount on which local cess is calculated include Zoddy revenue as alleged by certain Zamindars in their Canarese deposition.

~~In the explanatory~~ ~~explain the answer.~~

Whether by order

Broadley.

15/M

Chief Secty

B.D.

(Draft to Chief Secty's order).

No 3564 / 51 / 15-7-90
3564½ / 51½

To

The Superintendent
Inam Settlements
in Mysore.

Sir.

I have the honor to request the favor of your furnishing me with the following particulars in connection with each of the marginally noted Inam Villages in the Kunigal Taluk.

1. Old Beriz 'as per Samud.
2. Its details.
3. Survey valuation.
4. Its details
5. Local cess paid by the Inamdars now.
6. How calculated
7. Does the amount

Kolibi.

1. Gottikere. Hutridrug
2. Thogata — — Do —
3. Matasandra. — Do —
4. Nittur 1/4 tu. — Do —
5. Bandalialli Doddamutakuru Hulipuradrug.

From the Taluk Office 4/9/90

on which local cess
is calculated
include Toddy Revenue
es alleged by certain
Inamdars in their
Canarese deposition.
~~Kindly Details upon necessary~~
Please explain that
~~Particulars~~
~~Enclosure~~.
Further explanatory details
~~Kindly~~ added
Thence dun

Ltr 15/12/99
Chief Secty.

A.B.

Similar letter will
be sent to the Survey
Superintendent.

(Drafted on Chief Secty's orders)

(General Serial No. 30.)

18

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosu

General No.

No 47.

6130
24 SEP 90
123
DEWAN OF MYSORE

188

From The Supdt Mysore Rev Survey

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated

Despatched 22nd Sept 1890

Received

ENCLOSURES No. 2

No. 419 of 1890

With his letter No. 3564½/51½ dated 15th July 1890 calling for particulars in connection with certain Inam villages in the Kunigal Taluk.

Has the honor, with reference to the above to submit the two marginally noted Statements shewing the information required

1 Statement of old Beriz & its details

2 Statement of Survey Valuation & its details

Reed 26-9-90

Draft reminder to Amildars submitted —

9/10

tioned in

the letter above quoted, and to state that

the particulars required by items 5, 6 & 7

are not available in this Office and

can be obtained from the Deputy Commissioner

concerned.

J. B. Smith

Supt. Glyde Res.

Survey

Statement shewing the Survey Valuation

Taluk as called for in the Chief Se

Nagini	Number	Village	Occupied								
			Dry crop Rs			Rice Rs			Garden Rs		
1	2	3	4			5			6		
Huttridrug	1	Gottikere	482	1	3	301	3	3	20	13	6
"	2	Togata	130	11	3	63	3	10	84	4	.
"	3	Tatasandra	70	9	9	13	12	11	9	4	
"	4	Nittur 1/4	91	13	4	27	11	2	8	6	.
Hariyurdrug	5	Bandihalli	450	"	"	145	"	"	221	.	
"	6	Dod-Muttkur	70	"	"	92	"	"	57	.	

of certain Inam villages in the Kunigal

cretary's letter No. 3564 1/2 / 51 1/2 dated 15th July 1890.

Total	1/4 of Unoccupied waste Rd.	Total Valuation Rupees	Remarks
7	8	9	10
804 2 .	111 12 2	915 14 2	
278 6 11	17 9 7	296 . 6	
93 11 6	85 14 7	179 10 1	
127 15 4	50 3 9	178 3 1	
816 . .	156 8 .	972 8 .	
219 . .	37 . .	256 . .	

22.9.90.

Supdt Mysore Rev. Survey

6

(General Serial No. 30).

From 1890.

To The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Dated 10 6 90
Despatched 13
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 8293
No. 289

Your Office No 3563/112 of the 15th July 1890 calling for ~~certain~~ particulars in connection with certain Jain villages in the Kunigal Taluk.

Invites attention to the above requests which are urgently required.

Yours,

Undersecretary

A.C.

OFFICE OF THE
DEWANGIRI
5 NOV 90
7403
64

Serial No. 31

1890. 91

The Deputy Commissioner of
Kolar District
The Chief Secretary
to the Government
of Mysore
Bangalore.

Dated 1st November 90.

Despatched 3rd "

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 1595 of 1890-91.
No. 462

His Docket of 6th
3563/112 Dated the 15th
July 1890 and pre-
liminary No. 8293/289 of
the 10th October 1890.

Has the Collector
submitted herewith a
statement showing the
particulars called for
in the above mentioned
correspondence with the
Kuram Villages
noted in the margin.

Deputy Commissioner

Referred as
4/11/90

Accounts
Dated
7/11/90

Reg 7/11/90

Draft sub
9/11
19

Kolar
Hunsur
Yelandur
Kollegal
Chamarajanagar
Chittaldrug
Bangalore Rural
Bangalore City
Mysore

Statement showing the particulars of the undermentio

Taluk	Hobli	Name of Village	Old Beriz as per samand not being available, the Beriz as per Taluk accounts prepared in the year Krodhana is given with details.	Dry			Wet		
				Rs	a	p	Rs	a	
Kunigal	Hutridroog	Gottikere	Total Beriz 634-2-9						
			Deduct	546	8	1	592	6	
			Jari Inamty 20-1-2						
			X Toddy 110-8-9						
			Enjoyable by Brahmins as per samand 197-13-0						
			Total 328-6-11						
			Balance payable to Government 305-11-10						
	do	Kogatta	Total Beriz 60-15-2						
			Deduct	130	2	1	116		
			Jari Inamty 1-12-0						
			X Toddy 17-7-3						
			Enjoyable by Brahmins 11-10-6						
			Total 30-13-9						
			Balance payable to Government 30-1-5						
	do	Thattasandra	Total Beriz 45-11-0	228	14	2	123	15	
			Deduct						
			X Toddy 0-10-7						
			Enjoyable by Brahmins 8-11-7						
			Total 9-6-2						
			Balance payable to Government 36-4-10						
	do	Nittur Chaturbhaga	Total Beriz 58-3-6	2					
			Deduct						
			X Toddy 1-2-8						
			Enjoyable by Brahmins 6-1-9						
			Total 7-4-5						

		Balance payable to Government	50
11	Bandiballi	-	
12	Dodda	-	

	Does the amount on which local cess is calculated include Goddy revenue	Remarks.
	Not known as no Babwar particulars of the Inam valuation are available. In the survey valuation the item of Goddy is not included.	The Inamdars reside in different places. Sannad was not produced and it cannot be ascertained with whom the Sannad is. The information now given in this statement is based upon accounts available in the Taluk records. In Quit rent Register deduction has been made for compensation for Shekari.
Do.		
do:		Do. In Quit rent register 10-6 has been deducted on account of Goddy.
Do:		Do. This was a separate Village before the Revenue Survey. But in the settlement it was amalgamated with the Village of Settur and treated as major Inam. It consists of 44 survey numbers.



…ined Villages called for in the Chief Secretary's Do…

Survey valuation																						How Calcul…
Gar-den		Param-boke		Total		Deduct the amount re-sulting from eliminating fractions		Balance			Add average of Inundation-for			Total			Local cess paid by the Inamdars now				
Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p	Rs	a	p		
52	-	4	12	2	9	1203	1	5	-	6	8	1202	10	9	10	14	4	1213	9	1	68	14	8	At 1 a… per Ru… on the … valua… viz Rs… 1102
87	4	10	1	14	5	335	6	1	-	7	8	334	14	5	4	2	-	339	-	5	6	9	-	Do Rup… 105
60	33	3	6	13	4	419	2	-	-	-	-	419	1	3	-	-	-	419	13	3	16	11	-	Do Rup… 26
26	-	-	-	-	-	333	4	-	-	-	-	333	4	-	-	-	-	333	4	-	20	13	3	At one a… per Rupe… survey v… luation … Rupees … 333-4

		47	5	6 At 1 Anna per Rupee the Exchange Rupees 757-
		17	10	1 Do. Rupees 282-

Jinkuri
1st November
1890

(General Serial No. 30).

1890.91

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Dated 24 — 11 — 90

Despatched 26

Received

Enclosures No. 3

General No. 95357
No. 330

His No. 462 of the 1st
November 1890 submitting
a statement shewing
the particulars of cess
Beriz/dem of certain Inam
Villages in the Kunigal Taluk

In forwarding herewith
copies of 2 statements re-
-ceived from the Survey
and Inam Superintendents,
observes that the particulars
of Survey valuation &c
of the Inam Villages as
entered in the statement

submitted by the Deputy
Commissioners, do not
tally with those furnished
by the Survey & Inam
Superintendents & requests
therefore that a correct
Statement may be prepared
and submitted to this office
with full information in case
as to whether the amount
on which local cess is
calculated includes Toddy
revenue. The statement
to hereinafter returned.

P Norden.

W

Under Secty.

P.D.

MR

(General Serial No. 29.)

OFFICE OF THE
8621
16 DEC 90
5-26
SECRETARY OF STATE

1890.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To
The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~
Government of Mysore.

Dated Tumkur 13th December 1890.
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No.
No. ~~5-27~~ 1890-91

Chief Secretary's Docket
No 9565/330 dated the
24th Ultimo.

In retransmitting the statement returned with the above, has the honour to submit an explanatory memo regarding the difference referred to and containing the information called for.

Deputy Commissioner.

Received 18/12/90.
2 Drafts to Bills
23/12

Accounts
16/12/90

93
17-12-90

Explanatory Memo in connection with the 2 Talak.

Talak	Hobli	Name of Village	Inam valuation: As shown in this Office statement submitted with Docket No 462 of 1st November 1890.		Inam valuation: As shown in the statement furnished by the Superintendent of Inams (copy of which was received with Chief Secretary's Docket No 9565/330 of 24th November 1890.		Difference
Kunigal	Hutridurg	Gottikere Jodi	1102	106	1114 or 1114		17 11 56
	do:	Kogatta do:	105	- -	80		- 25 - -
	do:	Nettur Chaturbhaja	333	4			
	Hutridurg	Bandihalli Survamanyam	757	87	752		
	do:	Doddamattur Sarvamanyam	282	21	279	or 280	

tion of certain Inam Villages in the Kunigal

78

Explanation.

This difference is the valuation of the Minor Inams included in the figures entered in the statement of the Inam Department.

The figure viz: 105 given in this Office statement is in accordance with the Taluk Quit rent Register supplied by the Inam depart- ment - The difference of Rupees 25 cannot be explained here - The Superintendent of Inams may be able to do so -

The sum of Rupees 333-4- entered in this Office statement is not the Inam valua- tion but it is the Survey valuation, on which the cess is levied - This Sittur Chaturbhaga was formerly a separate Village, but during the settlement it was amalgamated, as a Minor Inam, with the Sittur Village - From that time the Survey Valuation was adopted for calcula- tion of cess.

This difference is the valuation of the Mi- nor Inam which is not included in the statement of the Inam Department.

This difference is the valuation of the Minor Inam which is not included in the statement of the Inam department.

Note

Note

The figures given under "Survey Va
accordance with the final printed Quit
Taluk received from the Inam Superinte
no other data to reconcile the figures
- perintendent - Hence the variations in

2 - The Beriz on which the local cess
in the Gottikere, Bandihalli and Dod
of the Beriz thereof given in the Inam
Toddy item - With regard to the other
Nittur Chaturbhaga the particulars of
- tendents' Office statement do not con
revenue -

Tiptur
13th December
1890

duation" in this Office statement are in
-rent Register of the late Huliyurdurg
ndents' Office. Save this Register there is
given in the statement of the Survey Su-
the figures cannot be explained here.
is calculated includes Coddy revenue
damalkár Villages, as the particulars
Superintendents' statement include the
Villages viz: Kogatta, Thattasandra and
the Beriz given in the Inam Superin-
tain any mention regarding the Coddy

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkúr District.

E.L.B.

(General Serial No. 30).

189

From

To The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District.

Dated

Despatched 5 January 1911

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 10822

No. 360

Ref No. 527 of the 13th December 1890, resubmitting with explanatory memo. the statement showing the particulars of Survey of certain Inam Villages in the Kunigal Taluk.

In reply returning the statement received with the above, draws attention to the particulars of Survey valuation as entered in the statement furnished by the Survey Superintendent.

with this Office docket

No. 9535 of the 24th Nov./90,
330
and ~~those Exhibited~~
~~in the Statement submitted~~
~~by the Deputy, etc.~~
and
requests explanation
as to why the figures ~~in both~~
exhibited in the Statement submitted by the Dy Commr for
do not tally with ~~those in~~ those given in the Statement
received from the
Survey Dept.
The Dy Commr has been explained already.
2. Requests the Dy Commr
will also report ~~whether~~
~~the Survey preceded the~~
~~Inam Settlement of those~~
~~Villages, and if not, how~~ why
the local cess is calculated
on the Inam valuation, while
the Villages have been surveyed & valued by the
Survey Dept. By order.

Undersecty.

D. D.

(General Serial No. 30).

1890 / 82

To The Superintendent Imam Settlements.

Dated

Despatched 5 January/90

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 10823

No. 833

Subject

Sir No 419 of the 22nd September 1890 Submitting two Statements shewing particulars of Beriz from of certain Imam Villages in the Kuiugok Talook.

In forwarding herewith copy of Statement received from the Deputy Commissioner of the Yunkun District, ~~requests~~ has the honor to request the Imam Superintendent will be so good as to report why Rs 80/- are shewn as the Beriz adopted by

the Inam Department for the Kosittu Village.
while Rs 105/. are stated
to have been shewn in the Taluk
Quit Rent Register. ~~supplied~~
~~by him~~.

2. As the information
whether the Berij of the
6 Inam Villages referred to
in this Office No 3564/51 of the
15th July 1890 includes Toddy
Revenue, is wanted, the
Inam Superintendent is
requested to furnish the
same, on a reference to
the Records in his Office.

By order.

For Chief Secty.

D D

(General Serial No. 31.)

188

OFFICE OF THE
9277
10 JAN. 91
1657
MYSORE

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 7th January 91

Despatched 9

Received

Enclosures No. 4

General No. 3319

No. 187

Regarding the recovery of local cess on the amounts paid to several Inamdars entitled to Toddy revenue.

Recd 19-1-91

Submitted for orders with reference to the observations made by Mr. Chentsal Rao Garu on a reference made by the Deputy Commr. of the Tumkur District which is pending disposal.

Recd 21/1/91

3/2

12/2/91

Annexed herewith you will find a letter from the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur, in which he requests that the Excise Commissioner may be asked to have the Toddy revenue in 87-88 which was lost in consequence of the Inamdars claims refunded.

25th Aug 91

No: 3319/187 88

Office of the Excise Commissioner
in Allypore, Bangalore,
dated 7th January 1891.

Enc. 4

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Allypore.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan
of Allypore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith copy of this office Circular No: 2509-16 dated 18th August 1890 and of the 73 Allypore District Deputy Commissioner's reply No: 2781 of the 10th November 1890 together with the original enclosures of the latter, for the orders of Government, I have the honor to state that I am of opinion that the local cess omitted to be collected on the amounts already paid to the Shanadars and Kayamgoottadars may be recovered from the arrears of toddy revenue not yet adjusted by the Deputy Commissioner, and from the amounts payable on account of the current contract, the present Contractors being required to

make

make the payments through the Deputy Commissioner.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

R. Nagendra Rao
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

87

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commissioners
of Districts.

Dated 18/26 August 1890. No: 2509-16
ex: 73.

Has the honor to request to be informed if the local cess due to Government the amounts paid by the Toddy Contractors the Inamdars and Kayamgoottadars entitled to toddy revenue, is being regularly paid into the Treasuries by the Contractors every year, in accordance with the Government Proceedings No: 2435-21 dated 19th June 1875.

(Signed) R. Vijayendra Row
Excise Commissioner

No: 2781
Enc: 2.

Mysore Deputy Commissioners
Office, dated camp Auter-
sante 10/12 November 1890.

From

G. F. Meiklejohn Esquire
Deputy Commissioner

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Sir

Adverting to your docket No: 2509
ex: 73.
dated

dated 18/26 August 1890, I have the honor to forward a protest of the contractor Muddappa against being called on to pay the local cess due to Government viz Rupees 7428-14-4 on the payments he made to the Inamdars for their toddy during Fasli Dasy. Muddappa and Co's Contract from 1st April 1881 to 31st March 1887.

2. The Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No: 2436/R21 dated 19th June 1875 lay down that the Contractor is responsible to Government for the amount of local cess, but that he might deduct the Local cess amount from the amount of rent which he paid to the Inamdars.

3. Mr Muddappa contends that as their rents have all been already paid in full to the Inamdars concerned without his making any deductions for the local cess, he cannot now be held liable.

4. I do not understand why the contractors were not made to pay this Local cess when their contract was in force, or before their security money was returned to them.

5. But on reference to previous correspondence, I find that Mr Ananda Row in June 1889 cancelled an order which he had sent to the District Treasury Officer to recover half of the Local cess by deducting it from the payments

The words are "the Collector should keep a debit on the Inamdars Account from time to time for the amount of the Cess levied by the Contractor on account of the Inamdars & the Inamdars should pay the same by him from the amount which he pays them"

payments paid for the Loddy Shut. 89

6. After that nothing was done to levy the cess for the contract of 1881 to 1887 though the amount of the cess due on payments for the Contract of 1887 to 1890 was recovered except Rs: 50.

7. The Contractors are perhaps hardly dealt with in now being called upon to pay up sums due for the contract of 1881 to 1887.

8. Had pressure been put upon them to pay the local cess when the contract was still running, they could have recouped themselves from the rents due to the Amildars. They may have, of course, taken the Local cess all the same and not paid it to the Treasury; but they state they did not do this, and it could not now be proved even if they did. I therefore forward their protest to you for submission to Government and for the favor of your obtaining early orders.

I have &c
(Signed) G. F. Cracklejohn
Deputy Comm^r
Mysore District.

"Copy"
F. Wyndham
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

List showing the amount paid by Messrs: Davy Mooddappah and Co. late Toddy Contractors, Mysore District, since April 1881 to March 1887 to the Inamdars of the Mysore District on account of the Toddy Revenues in their Inam Villages.

No.	Names of Taluks	Names of Inam Villages	Names of Inamdars	Amount paid			Remarks.
1	Mysore	Yedakola & Kasavana hully	Veerarajeh Urs	1269	"	"	
2	"	Voodaboor	Basavarajeh Urs	1450	14	"	
3	"	Koppagala & others	Seenanathalala	953	2	"	
4	"	Mandi Kuthanahully & ten Toddy Topes	Balay Gowdah Siddey Gowdah	648	"	"	
5	"	Doddakatoor	Shapeerkhan & others	909	"	"	
6	"	Dadada Kallahully	Srinivasa Sastri	928	8	"	
7	"	Voothanahully	Sanjeeva Iyaghar anna	4225	8	"	
8		Settiganakoppahully	Poottu Veeramma	391	4	4	

9	"	Arasinakere & Gauraya noor	Gopala Rajeurs	609	10
10	"	Gandahully	Veerabhadrappah	75	12
11	"	Nachanahully	Hosoor Striniva Iyengar & others	683	2
12	"	Gadahully	Timmaligai Siddappah	90	12
13	"	Gopally	Balakrishnajatty	1269	12
14	"	Gooroogala Hebbalu	Veerabhadrappah	492	6

			Brought forward	72533	2	
65	Mandya	Govadagerey	Sheshadri Sastriy	630	"	"
66	"	Sante Hesalagerey & Cde	Aundapur Rangachar	2501	10	"
67	"	Alakerey Checkkoor & ½ of Govadagerey	Sheshanna, Veeney Bukoki	1593	4	
68	Malavally	Devasamudram	Ramasami Modaliar	588	8	"
69	"	Sogala Garakally	Chama Ammanee	3000	"	"
70	Gelandur	Mellahally	Vencappya & others	1289	4	"
71	"	Amlaley	Raghavencata Narasimha Achar	1150	3	"
72	"	Alakere	Kapanipathi bhatt & others	595	"	
73	Gelandur Taluk		Jaghiredar	34961	4	
			Total	118862	5	

Note:- Local fess due by the Contractors
@ pies 6 a rupee is 3714-7-2
@ one annia per rupee is 7428-14-4

G. Buckley

Camp Mely

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

15	Chamaraja Nagar	Kamagalu	Rangabhatt, Agent	900	"	
16	"	Sagadey & Shammadi-hally	Annyapundit	621	"	
17	"	Mangala & others	Balay Urs	4159	15	"
18	"	Bagali & others	Desey Urs	8858	4	"
19	"	Voommathur & Moodlu agraharu	Soobbabhatt	303	"	"
20	"	Somasamudrum	Lutchmi pundit	56	13	"
21	"	Settigamahully	Seetarama jetty	294	9	4
22	"	Voommathur & others	Shamarajeswara Swamy Temple	5358	"	"
23	Srirangapatan	Mahaderapur & others	Rama Row	5036	10	"
24	Hoonsoor	Shiranally	Shimmappya & others	727	2	9
25	"	Dodda Byalalu	Nanjerajah Urs	1187	"	3
26	Yedatore	Goodagamahully	Rangamma & others	135	"	"
27	"	Vaddrahully	Venkatachala Sastry	328	"	"
28	"	Nagagamahully	Soottama Joish	144	"	"
29	"	Arakerey & Kabbamahully	Anduppur Rangachar	114	"	"
			Carried over	42222	"	10

			Brought forward		42222	10	
30	Heggadevankote	Bidigalu & Mallagondalu	Aswathanarayana Sastry		1368	4	6
31	"	Kithoor	Linga Rajah Urs		115	13	6
32	"	Sagarey	Gopalakrishnarajah Urs		872	10	"
33	"	Gangada Hosalli	Gooloor Shesaumah		1408	2	"
34	Gundlupett	Horeyala	Bassappajee Urs		264	2	3
35	Nanjangud	Coppanahully	Goondoo Row		249	12	"
36	"	Thumbu Nerali	Siddarajey Urs & others		296	1	"
37	"	Depur & others villages	Lutchmun Row		900	"	"
38	"	Belaley & Linganahally	Gooroorayachar		742	11	"
39	"	Kaggaloor	Mooddoo krishnachar		181	14	"
40	"	Jodi Rampur & ca	Jelay Mallappah & Bro		8529	"	"
41	"	Ambaley	Raghavendra Sivanny Mutt		909	11	"
42	"	Valagalbay & others	Shamachar & others		185	8	"
43	"	Koodlapur & others	Agent, Venkataramappah		973	14	"
44	"	Madapura	Balaji Row		25	3	6

45	Nangenguda	Geggigan ahully	Soobbabhatt	447	12	"
46	"	Hoonasanalu	Chinnappah Joish & others	390	3	8
47	"	Karya	Lutchmanachar & others	55	6	8
48	"	Haradaley	Vyasachar & others	545	10	"
49	"	Alaganchi	Chick Moonsamy chetty & others	1700	12	4
50	"	Bendaganahully	Moonsamy Chetty	96	11	"
51	"	Hosakote	Nanjunda Sastry	73	12	9
52	"	Kaneynoor & others	Rama Row	1671	6	"
53	Tir, Narsipur	Hyakanoor	Vide No 30 above			
54	"	Binakanahally & Meegalahally	Raghavachar & Krishnamachar	420	12	"
55	"	Dodda Abbagal & Kooralutty	Srirangachar	1194	1	"
56	"	Hosakoor	Bommarasa pundit	166	8	"
57	"	Kethavapura	Tirumala Sundarachar	395	4	"
58	"	Konagally	Aswathiya	134	4	"
59	"	Vadiyandahally & Bilikere	Raghavencata Narasimhachar	856	14	"
60	"	Iggali	Varadambhatt	122	9	2
61	"	Hemmigey	Srirangachar & Ramanujachar	541	"	8
62	"	Boodahally	Srirangachar	84	"	"
63	"	Mothathi	Vencata Narayana Joish	128	5	4
64	"	Kempapura & others	Devarajeh Urs	4283	2	6
			Carried over	72553	2	8

No 1039.

Rec'd No. 2654
10-10-92.

Train.

Messrs Davy Moodaliappa & Co
Late Abkary Contractors
Mysore District

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

In reply to your Cancelled Yadasht No 11.04 dated 30th ultimo we most respectfully beg leave to bring to your favourable consideration and orders that the Toddy Contract in the name of Messrs Davy Moodaliappa and Co was commenced from 1st April 1891 and terminated by the 31st March 1892.

In compliance with the orders from your Office to furnish with a statement showing the balances of Encumbrances and the amount paid to them during the period of our Contract we have drawn up the required statement. When we were desired to deduct some local Cess from the money already paid to Encumbrances; but it was too late as we could do nothing in the matter, presented the whole circumstances and explained the fact in person of the then Deputy Commissioner Mysore (Mr. J. Chandrakar).

After this, he was so good as to favour us with a copy of the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 2435/R27 dated 8th September 18. (a copy of which is herein enclosed for ready reference) from this it may be perceived that we are permitted to deduct the loss at once annas in a rupee only for future payments to Tavandars, but not for the past. In accordance with the orders contained in your office Docket No. 2700 dated 8th July 1889, we have recovered some 319 and odd rupees from the payments of Tavandars, and remitted part thereof, and would remit the other.

This being the case, we are quite ignorant to understand how, and from what money this sum of 7,000 and odd rupees should be deducted, and remitted to the Treasury; it is also stated in your Yadasht quoted above, on our failure of doing so, orders would be issued to the Treasury to deduct the Account from our monthly Khist payments.

We respectfully beg you to permit us to say that we are not bound in any way either by the Notification published in the Mysore Gazette calling for Abkary Tenders or by the terms of our Agreements

ended between Government, Ourselves,
to be liable to pay the said Local Cess for
the money that would be paid, or that
had already been paid to Encumbers.
However had the said Chief Commrs
Proceedings been issued for the execution
before the payments were made to
Encumbers, it would have been very
easy for us to deduct the local Cess
from the payments of Encumbers.
It may be observed from the Government
records that we were entirely ignorant
of the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings
till the 8th September 1887.

Under these circumstances, we
respectfully beg you to be so good as to
have pity on us, and save us from
any additional loss as this, as we are
not in any way bound to pay to Govt.

We have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obd Servts

Dany Brondelapshapo

9th October
1890
Rangoon

94

No. 1107.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District.
Dated 8th September 1887.

In forwarding the above Copy for the information and guidance of Messrs. Davy Moodalappa & Co: Late Abkari Contractors, Mysore District requests that they will pay to Govt. local cess on all money which may be paid by them hereafter to Encumbrances and furnish a list of payments already made to Encumbrances without deducting local cess.

Signed / T. Ramdas Row
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

"True Copy"

Davy Moodalappa.

95

89

(General Serial No. 29.)

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
9943
29 JAN. 91
582
MYSORE

188

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To. 13670 A 1/2/91 16

The Chief Secretary ~~to the Dewan~~
Government of Mysore.

Dated 25th January 1891.

Despatched 26 " "

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. ~~588~~ 1890:91.
No.

From his No. 1082/2/360 dated the 3rd Instant.

Has the honor to state that it has not been possible to explain the difference noticed as between the figures given by this office and those furnished by the Survey Superintendent for the reasons already given in detail Vide para 1 of the note in the explanatory memo: submitted.

the

C Narasimha
A1
29/1/1891

Read in the account Branch.
24/2/91 [illegible]

Reminder to
Drawing Supt Orders
[illegible]
26/2

QR
2-2-91

C/o

this office docket No. 9621
527 dated the 13th. Ultimo,

2.— The revised final Quit-rent registers for the villages in question not having as yet been received, the local cess is calculated on the Inam valuation as per instructions laid down in para 5 of the Darwar Proceedings Nos. 4701-9. and 2709-18 dated the 2d. Sept. 1881 and 9th August 1887 respectively.—

3.— The statement returned with the docket under reply, is herewith resubmitted.

I have &c.

Dy. Collr. & Asst. Commr.

Dharwar

97

(General Serial No. 30).

189

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

SR 14-89 15

To The D/S Tumkur District

Dated 18

Despatched to February

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 12957

No. 409

Memo 10822/360 &c.

Surplus calling for certain particulars regarding certain Inam Villages.

Government desire an almost immediate reply to the representation contained in the above with an explanation of the cause of the delay in the submission of the same. On a perusal of the correspondence we had noted on the subject the D/S will observe that a call made so far back as July last yet remains unanswered to

Bgl 18/2/91

No 3563/112 & 15 July 90

Dept. Revenue
3-91.

General Serial No. 29.

OFFICE OF THE
11008
2 MAR. 91
1891. 644

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

9

SR
14-8-91
16

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Durbar~~
Government of Mysore.

Dated 25th February 1891.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. ~~654~~ 1890:91.
No.

His docket No. 12957
dated the 18th Instant. 4-09

Begs to state that docket
No. 10822/360 of the 3rd Ultimo
quoted in the above
was replied to, Vide
this office docket No. 588 9943
dated the 25th January 1891.

Yr. Obt. Servt.
Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur.

Referred as
4/3/91.

99

(General Serial No. 61)

1890-91.

From

9-8-91

To The Superintendent, Inam Settlement.

Dated 6-3-91

Despatched 7

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 13670

No 165

His Office No. 10823/133 of the 3rd January 1891 calling for ~~certain~~ information in regard to the Survey &c. of certain Inam Villages in the Kunigal Taluk.

Invites attention to the above and requests the very early submission of the information therein called for.

By order,

Under Secty.

W. B.

100
57
8 R
16-9R
1891



Villages	—	Total Berij	—	—	—	Including the Holiday Revenue
Gottikere	—	1114	—	"	—	
Nagatta	—	105	—	"	—	
Bandihally	—	752	—	14	—	2
Hadida Mathoor	—	280	—	"	—	"

10 years' average Amount of Holiday included in the Berij adopted by this Department. — 81 — 12 — 10 — — — — — 25 — " — " — — — 36 — 13 — 7 — — — 49 — 13 — 1 — — —

Remarks.

From

The Supt. of Inam Settls.

To, The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 7th March
Despd 7th

Enclosure no (1)

No. 67 of 1890-91

His Docket No. 10823/133

Dated 3rd January 1891.

With reference to the above, has the honor to forward herewith Copy of a Memo of this Office of 8th April 1873, shewing the reasons under which the Assessment in question has been reduced from Rs. 105 to 80, and to state that

Read 16/3/91
Requisition sent to Record Do. 30/3/91

Read 29/5/91 Memo Sent 31 29-6-91 2/7/91 131 16-5-91 A3 16-3-91

that the Berij of the marginally noted villages alone includes the Toddy Revenue.

Supt of Drain Setts.

D.S.

102 No. B.

1 Order sent to the Amildar of Hoolioordroog to enquire and report whether the Vrittidars from No. 1 to 6 entered in Col: 15 are willing to take on full Assessment the resumed Vritti of No. 7.

× Vide Hookoom 8/19th August 1872 No. 417. 180.

2. The Amildar replies that the said parties are willing to take the Vritti on full Assessment. ⊛

⊛ Vide letter No. 273/598 8/17-12-72.

3. The Village may be confirmed as follows.

Bevly - Jodi - Remr. Quitrent C.R.

As per Register - 105 — 47-9-0
Deduct Abkary - 25 — 17-7-3
Remainder 80 — 30-1-9

Of which for Resume Vritti } 8 — 3 — 2 = 4-15-10 - 4-15-10 - 8 — full

For other 9 Vrittis 72 — 27-1-7-44-15-5-5-9-9 - 32-11-4 1/8

80 — 30-1-9-49-14-3 10-9-7 40-11-4

or 41 —

In the remarks made by Colonel Block the quit-rent is omitted through an oversight.

Particulars for the deductions of Rs: 25 —

As per Statement of the Average receipts — 16-4-10
Rental — 8-2-5
24-7-3
or Rs 25 —

Bangalore 8/4/73 — (Signed) D. Venkatappaiya Dy: Clerk.

N. B.

(107)

Vide Chief Commr's Proceedings No 9203/548 of 17th March 1875 - about Sayer and Abkary. The Inamdars decline the option - vide Amildar's arzi No 473 of 27-9-75.

As the parties consent to take the resumed Vritti on full Assessment Vide Pro 8, It is so Confirmed and the Shares will be duly apportioned among the other Vrittidars.

(Signed) J. Pickle

10/4/73.

"Copy"

Supdt of Inam Settlt